
Q1 Select one committee on which you serve, that you are evaluating now. If serving on multiple committees listed below, please return to this page to complete the survey for each committee on the list.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0


Academic Senate (Full					
Academic Senate					
Academic Standards an					
Assessment					
Associate Faculty					
Basic Skills					
Budget Planning					
College Council					
Curriculum					
Distance Education					
Enrollment Management					
Professional Development					
Facilities Planning					
Faculty Development					
Faculty Qualifications					
Institutional Effectiveness					
Multicultural					



Answer Choices	Responses	
Academic Senate (Full Senate)	0.00%	0
Academic Senate (Executive)	0.00%	0
Academic Standards and Policies	0.00%	0
Assessment	0.00%	0
Associate Faculty	0.00%	0
Basic Skills	0.00%	0
Budget Planning	100.00%	7
College Council	0.00%	0
Curriculum	0.00%	0
Distance Education Advisory	0.00%	0
Enrollment Management	0.00%	0
Professional Development	0.00%	0
Facilities Planning	0.00%	0
Faculty Development	0.00%	0
Faculty Qualifications	0.00%	0
Institutional Effectiveness	0.00%	0
Multicultural & Diversity	0.00%	0
Program Review	0.00%	0
Student Equity	0.00%	0
Technology Planning	0.00%	0
Tenure Review	0.00%	0
Total		7

# Q2 Please indicate the extent to which you agree with each of the following statements pertaining to the committee you are evaluating.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Stron agree		Somewhat agree	Neutral	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	N/A	Total	Weighted Average	
-------------	--	----------------	---------	-------------------	-------------------	-----	-------	---------------------	--

1. Members of the committee attend regularly.	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>42.86%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	7	3.4
The committee members had appropriate information to make informed decisions.	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	0.00%	7	2.8
Discussions and decisions were data-driven and supported by sound evidence.	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	7	3.9
4. The committee accomplished what it set out to do.	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> O	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	7	3.
5. Each constituent group had representation on this committee.	<b>57.14%</b> 4	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> O	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	7	3.
6. Each campus location had representation on this committee.	<b>42.86%</b> 3	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	7	3.:
7. Relevant committee information was effectively communicated to appropriate constituents.	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> 0	7	2.
8. The results of committee activities are communicated broadly to keep the district informed of changes/improvements.	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> O	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>14.29%</b> 1	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> O	7	2.
9.The committee had collegial and collaborative dialogue regarding decisions, plans, etc.	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>42.86%</b> 3	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	7	3.
10. The committee followed an effective process for decision making.	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>42.86%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	7	3.
11. The committee followed transparent processes.	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>57.14%</b> 4	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	7	2.
12. The committee website is generally up to date (minutes, members, charge, etc.).	<b>33.33%</b> 2	<b>16.67%</b>	<b>33.33%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>16.67%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	6	3.
13. The integrated planning and budgeting process is clear.	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> O	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	7	3.
14. The district has effectively communicated the outcomes of the planning and governance process.	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> O	<b>14.29%</b>	7	3
15. Resources were adequate for committee members at all locations to participate.	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>14.29</b> %	<b>42.86%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	0.00%	7	2

## Q3 16. How have you seen the committee improve?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3

#	Responses	Date
1	For most of the year, the committee did not function well. But this was in some ways good, because the deterioration reached a point where the need for an overhaul could no longer be denied.	4/14/2016 11:00 AM
2	Not sure, need more time on the committee	4/14/2016 9:05 AM
3	more thought is being given to making processes more consistent and more transparent	4/11/2016 12:09 AM
4	The committee consistently has reviewed very timely information and provided valuable feedback to the president.	4/8/2016 11:46 AM

## Q4 17. How can the committee operate more effectively?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 1

#	Responses	Date
1	The CIO and Senate Co-Presidents have met to work on ways to make this committee function more effectively by making its membership draw from a wider variety of groups and giving it stronger leadership. These changes have not yet been officially approved by the BPC or IEC yet though.	4/14/2016 11:00 AM
2	Sending out the agenda and any documents at least a couple of days before the meeting instead of a couple of hours ahead of the meeting would allow the members to be better prepared for discussions. Ensuring that all members receive the information.	4/14/2016 9:05 AM
3	Have a time limit for those individuals speaking on a topic.	4/12/2016 2:05 PM
4	Dates are set in advance; all members should prioritize attending meetings and come prepared. Full participation and representation from all constituent groups should be a priority. Relevant documents and information should be circulated well in advance. Minutes and agreed actions from previous meetings should be circulated in advance of next meeting. Purpose of meetings should be clear. Decisions needed should be clear with time allowed for background information and discussion.	4/11/2016 12:09 AM
5	Information needs to be available sooner to make real decisions. Also, the information is difficult to understand.	4/8/2016 11:48 AM
6	This year the committee got bogged down with minor details of a rubric. After several meetings the rubric was largely unchanged.	4/8/2016 11:46 AM