

Request for Tenure Track Faculty

Program:

Date:

Initiator(s):

1. Program(s) Supported by the Discipline:

2. Job Description. Separate requests are required for each position sought. Please indicate if this request is site specific and the location(s).

3. Please check ONE of the following categories: Faculty Replacement Position, Growth Position or New Program/Discipline. Provide related information. (0-5 Points)

Faculty Replacement Position (check one)

- ☐ Stable or growing discipline or program needs replacement for FT faculty who have left within this academic year
- ☐ Stable or growing discipline or program needs replacement for FT faculty who have left within 1-2 years
- ☐ Stable discipline or program needs replacement for FT faculty who have left within three-four years
- ☐ Stable discipline or program can justify replacement for FT faculty who have left within five years or more
- ☐ Outside accreditation is at risk without FT hire

Provide justification and documentation. Include when the position became vacant and the incumbent to be replaced.

Growth Position (checkone)

- ☐ Enrollment data over past two years indicate program is *growing*
- ☐ Enrollment data over past two years indicate program is *stable*
- ☐ Enrollment data over past two years indicate program is *declining*
- ☐ Independent marketing or other data indicate growth potential

Provide justification and documentation.

New Program/Discipline Position

- ☐ Program Initialization process complete
- ☐ State curriculum approval complete
- ☐ Program has grown significantly without FT faculty
- ☐ Independent marketing data suggests viability of new program

Provide justification and documentation.

4. FT/PT Ratio: Indicate which statement/s are confirmed by the data: (0 -5 points)

- ☐ Program has no full-time faculty
- ☐ FT/PT ration below 50/50 percent
- ☐ FT/PT ratio below 60/40 percent
- ☐ FT/PT ratio below 75/25 percent
- ☐ FT/PT ratio results in critical lack of effective oversight for associate faculty
- ☐ Reliable pool of well-qualified associate faculty is unavailable

(In the case of non-teaching faculty positions, the criteria will be the deviation from norms obtained from like institutions)

Provide justification and documentation.

5. Program/Student Outcomes (0 – 5 points)

Provide a narrative justifying need for full-time faculty in order to maintain and/or improve program and student learning outcomes (one-page max), based on the following: (0 – 5 points)

- Demonstrate a clear need for FT faculty in order to maintain program outcomes
- Justification demonstrates a clear need for FT faculty in order to achieve student learning outcomes

Narrative:

REDWOODS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

RUBRIC FOR PRIORITIZING FULL-TIME FACULTY POSITIONS

Faculty Replacement Position <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stable or growing discipline or program needs replacement for FT faculty who have left within this academic year • Stable or growing discipline or program needs replacement for FT faculty who have left within 1-2 years • Stable discipline or program needs replacement for FT faculty who have left within three-four years • Stable discipline or program can justify replacement for FT faculty who have left within five years or more • Outside accreditation is at risk without FT hire 	Points (0-5):
OR	
Growth Position <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enrollment data over past two years indicate program is <i>growing</i> • Enrollment data over past two years indicate program is <i>stable</i> • Enrollment data over past two years indicate program is <i>declining</i> • Independent marketing or other data indicate growth potential 	
OR	
New Program/Discipline Position <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Initialization process complete • State curriculum approval complete • New program has shown significant growth without FT faculty • Independent marketing data suggest viability of new program 	
FT/PT Ratio <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program has no full-time faculty • FT/PT ration below 50/50 percent • FT/PT ratio below 60/40 percent • FT/PT ratio below 75/25 percent • FT/PT ratio results in critical lack of effective oversight for associate faculty • Reliable pool of well-qualified associate faculty is unavailable (In the case of non teaching faculty positions, the criteria will be the deviation from norms obtained from like institutions)	Points (0-5):
Program/Student Outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Narrative justification demonstrates clear need for FT faculty in order to maintain program outcomes • Narrative justification demonstrates clear need for FT faculty in order to achieve student learning outcomes 	Points (0-5):
Other (Shared Interest) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shared interest determined by the Faculty Prioritization Committee. Scoring rubric will be mutually agreed and will be based on the nature of the shared interest. 	Points (0-5):
TOTAL	