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|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Exemplary** | **Satisfactory** | **Developing** |
| **Mission/Program Information** | Program mission clearly aligns with the mission of the college;Scope and reach of function identifies the program’s impact on the college and community or service areas;Mission and function are clear and concise. | Program mission aligns with the mission of the college;Scope and reach of function is present;Mission and function are clear and concise. | Program mission fails to align with the mission of the college;Identifies functions of the program but not the greater purpose;Seems to lack administrative oversight. |
| **Data Analysis/Program Indicators** | Data is complete and insightful; commentary was given regarding factors that may have contributed to program changes;Factors impacting student achievement and learning were described in detail;Student equity outcomes or initiatives were thoroughly addressed. | Data is complete and some comparative comments regarding program changes were present;Factors impacting student achievement and learning were clearly stated;Student equity was discussed. | Some data may be missing or is unclear;Comparative analysis was absent or sparse regarding data program changes and/or factors impacting student achievement and learning;Student equity was not discussed or was unclear. |
| **Critical Reflection of Assessment Activities** | A significant amount of assessment activity has taken place on the college’s established cycle which includes student and program learning outcomes;Assessment findings are used to inform planning and program changes;Assessment explanations are thorough and detailed. | Enough assessment activity has taken place such that the program can reflect on what it has learned;Assessment findings are linked to program changes;Assessment explanations are clear. | Insufficient assessment activity was completed for the program to reflect on assessment-based changes;Assessment findings are not linked to program changes;Assessment, in general, is not being done within the college’s established cycle;Assessment explanations are not clear. |
| **Evaluation of Previous Plans** | Past planning actions were carried out, evaluated, and their impact is clearly described with relevant data;A planning action may not have occurred but there is a clear explanation as to why the action was not completed and the resulting impact on the program. | Impact of planning actions are clear with some relevant data described;A planning action may not have occurred but there is an explanation as to why the action was not completed. | Current planning action(s) status is unclear;The impact of the planning actions were not evaluated with relevant data, and there is no plan for evaluation in the future;Status of Incomplete plans is not explained sufficiently. |
| **Program and Discipline Planning** | Planning actions specifically and overtly link to stated institutional planning actions, and are discussed; planning actions are not stated as resource requestsPlanning actions are clearly based on assessment findings;Planning actions clearly show the expected impact on the program/student learning and can be measured. | Planning actions are linked to institutional planning actions;Most planning actions are based on assessment findings;Most planning actions show the expected impact on the program/student learning and can be measured.Most planning actions are not stated as resource requests. | Institutional plans are not linked to program planning actions;Planning actions are not tied to assessment results and are stated as resource requests;The impact of planning actions on program/student learning is not discussed adequately or cannot be measured. |
|  |  |  |  |